

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE  
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 21 AUGUST 1970

Remimeo  
C/S Checksheets  
All Level  
Checksheets  
Dn Chocksheet

C/S Series No. 16

SESSION GRADING  
WELL DONE,  
DEFINITION OF

A "well done" to an auditor requires a precise meaning. It is not given by the C/S because an auditor is a friend or because he would be offended if he didn't get one.

"WELL DONE" GIVEN BY THE C/S FOR A SESSION MEANS THE PC HAD F/N VGIs AT THE EXAMINER IMMEDIATELY AFTER THE SESSION.

This then pre-supposes that session lines include an Examiner even if it's a receptionist and it includes the use and understanding of Exam Reports. (See HCO PL 26 Jan '70 Issue III or any rewrite and Exam tech.)

It pre-supposes the Examiner has a meter to hand and that the pc makes a statement.

Thus, if there are no Exam reports there can't be a well done given, eh? True enough. A C/S who C/Ses without Exam reports done by a different person than the auditor is asking to fly blind and to get auditor "PR" (public relations or brag) and false auditing reports.

No F/N at Exam no well done.

This is harsh as early on pcs often get no F/N at Examiner. BUT IN EVERY CASE THERE ARE CURRENT EARLIER TECH ERRORS ON THE CASE when the F/N doesn't get from the session to the Examiner. It is also harsh because the failure to get the F/N to the Examiner could be a C/S error! But (see HCOB 24 May '70 Auditor's Rights, C/S Series 1), the auditor should not have accepted the C/S.

The C/S could be too heavy, or the case needed a repair first or the process ordered is not part of a proper program.

HOURS SUCCESSFULLY AUDITED INCLUDES ONLY "WELL DONE" OR "VERY WELL DONE" SESSIONS.

VERY WELL DONES

An auditor gets a "VERY WELL DONE" when the session by worksheet inspection, Exam Report inspection is:

- (1) F/N VGIs at Examiner.
- (2) The auditing is totally flubless and by the book.
- (3) The whole C/S ordered was done without departure and to the expected result.

NO MENTION

A no mention of well done or very well done or anything simply means:

- (1) F/N did not get to Examiner.

- (2) No major auditing errors exist in the session.

### FLUNKS

A FLUNK is given when:

- (1) The F/N did not get to Examiner and didn't occur at session end.
- (2) Major errors or flubs occurred like no EP, multiple somatic, unflown ruds, etc.
- (3) The C/S was not followed or completed.
- (4) Auditor's Rights listed errors occurred.
- (5) No F/N and BIs at Examiner.

The exact error must be noted on the worksheet and in the next C/S along with the Flunk.

### FLUNK AND RETRAIN

When an auditor does not improve but continues to get NO MENTIONS and FLUNKS, he requires retraining.

Such retraining must include:

- (1) Cleaning up all Misunderstoods of tech.
- (2) Cleaning up willingness to audit.
- (3) Cleaning up overts on people and pcs.
- (4) Examination by inspection of TRs.
- (5) Starrating material missed or not grasped as per session troubles.

### INVALIDATION

Invalidative remarks should not be made by a C/S. Experience has shown they do no good and also do harm.

But there are 2 methods of invalidating an auditor's auditing:

- (1) Let him go on flubbing and getting no results.
- (2) Direct invalidation of his intentions or future or potential.

In 1, nearly all auditors who stop auditing never really know how to audit in the first place or have gross misunderstands or have accumulated intentional or unintentional overts on pcs or who have been too harshly invalidated. When they don't really grasp the ease and simplicity of auditing they get into other troubles.

A really well trained, smooth auditor never gets any real charge on his case on the subject of auditing.

When you let an auditor flub, the whole subject gets invalidated and he loses his value because he goes into doubt. This can be said with complete confidence today as the whole of Dianetics and Scientology is there and it works very very well indeed IF IT IS USED AND IF THE C/SING AND AUDITING IS CORRECT AND FLUBLESS.

AUDITOR HANDLING

The C/S is really not just the Case Supervisor, he is also the auditors' handler.

Like a boxer's trainer or a star's director, the C/S handles his guys. They are all a bit different, auditors. There are prima donnas and meak mousey ones and steady-on ones and all kinds.

They get the credit for the sessions from the pcs most often. They really don't like not to be C/Sed.

And they VALUE the well done and the very well done and they flinch at the flunks. And the honest ones know all about it before they turn it in. And some don't mention the flub but think you're a fool if you miss it.

So it's important to have a constant in assigning what the auditor is given for the session.

WELL DONE AUDITING HOURS are all that's valid for a stat.

So a C/S must be very exact and correct in his determination of well done, very well done, no mention and (forlornly) a flunk.

This should remove argument from the matter and bring certainty.

L. RON HUBBARD  
FOUNDER

LRH:rr  
Copyright (c) 1970  
by L. Ron Hubbard  
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED